INTRODUCTION
This paper uses the lens of embodiment to explore how enjoyment of nature and greenspace contributes to improving, supporting, and maintaining health and well-being for people living with dementia (Martin et al., 2020; Twohig-Bennett & Jones, 2018) in their leisure time. Leisure as a concept has a long history of analysis within social science, typically defined in simple terms as the time left after an individual has fulfilled all of their daily obligations and duties. The scope and breadth of leisure was defined by Stockdale (1985) who identified three main ways in which the concept is used:

- **as a period of time**, activity or state of mind in which choice is the dominant feature;
- **an objective view** in which leisure is perceived as the opposite of work and is defined as non-work or residual time;
- **a subjective view** which emphasises leisure as a qualitative concept in which leisure activities take on a meaning only within the context of individual perceptions and belief systems and can therefore occur at any time in any setting (Hall & Page, 2014: 7).

For people living with dementia, conceptualising leisure is slightly more complex because of the need for care and the time that providing care may take up as a daily necessity whether by an unpaid family member or friend acting as an informal carer or by a paid care worker. This also has the potential to alter the social dynamic of the relationship that is perceived to exist between the person living with dementia and the carer in leisure settings.

In this paper, our emphasis is upon the subjective view of leisure in relation to people living with dementia, recognising the growing interest in leisure and everyday life (Gray et al., 2024) as well as the diverse ways in which leisure time experiences are constructed, consumed and mediated through different social and psychological factors. As Gray et al. (2024) suggest, people living with dementia each have a unique experience of living with the condition, and by implication, their everyday experiences of life and dementia are equally individualised and nuanced. For this reason, the paper also provides a series of insights on social citizenship and leisure in everyday life with
dementia, because engaging with nature and the outdoors is inherently about how individuals sustain a sense of place in their world through what they do (Bartlett & O’Connor, 2010) and the meaning derived therein. As Gray et al. (2024) suggest, these leisure realms that are both space- and place-related offer freedom for people living with dementia that enhances their well-being. This is important for the psychological health of people living with dementia in terms of self and identity (Caddell & Clare, 2013; Clare et al., 2020) as it reflects how individuals create subjective evaluations of self and identity through the tripartite model of Sabat & Harré (1992). This model is based upon three components: personal identity, beliefs and attributes, and the personae presented in social interactions (Clare et al., 2020: 127). Engaging with nature and the outdoors may enhance individual feelings of self and help people living with dementia to maintain a degree of continuity in their leisure lives, thereby achieving a sense of phenomenological continuity. In addition, Russell et al. (2023) argued that social identity was important for people living with dementia as leisure was one way in which they could be viewed as people rather than being defined by their medical condition. In this respect, leisure activities and participation have a pivotal role to play in social identity through the way in which social interactions and their engagement with leisure-oriented places and spaces can be understood phenomenologically through embodiment and ‘being in the world’ (Russell et al., 2023: 136).

Positive enhancement of well-being through engagement with nature can also help reduce depression and anxiety, and improve cognitive function, mood and quality of life (QoL; see e.g. Edwards et al., 2013; Finnanger-Garshol et al., 2022; Hewitt et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2022), while Odzakovic et al. (2020) and Ward et al. (2022) have emphasised how natural settings support a sense of self and well-being through their restorative effects and opportunity for enhanced sociability, creating a positive ethic about living well with dementia (Bartlett et al., 2017). Further, this paper addresses the dearth of studies on the leisure-dementia nexus by examining the leisure lives of people living in the community (an exception being Gray et al., 2024) rather than in
residential care settings, the context in which the role of nature has been more frequently studied (Cook, 2020; Whear et al., 2014; de Bruin et al., 2021; Noone & Jenkins, 2018; Gibson et al., 2017). Leisure participation may empower people with dementia to resist and overcome the stigma of a dementia diagnosis (Genoe, 2010) and it offers a personal space where friendship can flourish, reducing social isolation (Fortune et al., 2021). Research on individual subjective leisure experiences of dementia (Genoe & Dupuis, 2014) that incorporate nature is limited to pilot studies (e.g., Innes et al., 2016) but given that most people with a dementia diagnosis live independently at home (Mmako et al., 2020), there is a need to better understand what facilitates independent outdoor nature activities. This is because there is a very clear knowledge gap in how engaging with the outdoors and nature may boost sense of identity as exhibited through place attachment, which is the emotional bond an individual has to a particular place(s). This connects with the inclusivity paradigm that suggests access to leisure resources, such as nature, must recognise individual capability, barriers to participation and diversity in needs and preferences. However, as Collins et al. (2023) emphasised, the research focus on nature-based activities for people living with dementia tends to be formalised through green day care, equine-assisted interventions, and community nature-based activities. In this way, people living with dementia are often overlooked in terms of their leisure habits, aspirations, behaviours and activities and the resulting cognitive challenges faced in outdoor environments, particularly spatial awareness and wayfinding (see Duggan et al., 2008). Mapes et al. (2016, p.50) argued that we need to understand how people with dementia “conceptualise, experience and interact” with natural environments (see Table 1) to fill the knowledge gap on how people living with dementia engage with nature. Yet, understanding the meaning, value and overall experience of nature to date has largely focused on structured leisure activities rather than independent experiences of leisure in daily life.

*Table 1 near here*
This paper aims to explore embodied experience of nature-based and outdoor activities among people with dementia and their unpaid, informal carers, in this case spouses/partners or adult children, collectively described as ‘supporters’. In doing so, we draw on the concept of embodiment, as through embodiment ‘the individual comes to know about the world in new, more complex ways... in everyday activities the individual works and reworks’ (Crouch, 2000, p.65). Furthermore, people encounter place, space and leisure resources, including nature, through embodiment. It is a powerful conceptual frame to examine dementia as the “body and embodiment are central to the experience of living with dementia...[by]... heightening of embodied selfhood in dementia; recognising ... the enormous potential that embodiment offers us to celebrate life with dementia” (Downs, 2013, p.372). Embodiment as a concept enables researchers to understand and create an account of the sensual elements of place, space and nature in multi-dimensional and multi-sensual ways, typically focused on the moment or element of an experience.

METHODOLOGY

Design

This study presents qualitative interview data from ‘Extending active life for older people with cognitive impairment and their families through innovation in the visitor economy of the natural environment’ (ENLIVEN), which was funded by the UK Research and Innovation (UKRI) Healthy Ageing Challenge and UKRI/Economic and Social Research Council (ESRC) through Grant ES/V016172/1. Ethical approval for the study was provided by the University of Exeter, Reference 51316.

Methodological approach

We adopted a phenomenological approach that aimed to understand the lived experience of individuals and uncover how this experience is given meaning (Chamberlain, 2009). Exploring
experiences in a meaningful way requires a participant-focused methodology that captures the voices and feelings of people living with dementia. Theoretical studies of leisure geographies (e.g., Crouch, 2000) point to the importance of subjective research methodologies to understand how people create an account of place in their everyday lives, including the role of nature. Embodiment offers one such approach (see Spatz, 2017), focusing on “1) Social theories of the body; 2) ‘Histories’ of the body; 3) Analyses of bodily techniques; 4) Studies of embodied experience” (Brown et al., 2011, p.493). Embodiment offers a reframing of dementia away from cognitive decline and loss to explore the unique way individuals experience and construct meaning through their body (Kontos & Martin, 2013; Millett, 2011). Embodiment explores “the ways in which individuals grasp the world around them and make sense of it in ways that engage both mind and body” (Crouch, 2000, p.63) and has been widely used as a research approach in cultural and leisure geographies, and more widely in social science. Embodiment helps understand people’s connection to place and space as well as helping reposition the leisure and ageing research agenda towards care for oneself and care for the other (Rojek, 2005), sharing a theoretical congruence with dementia research. Embodiment rejects the separation of the mind and body, recognising their interconnectedness in shaping how humans experience the world around them, which “repositions the body, as a source of knowledge and understanding, as opposed to simply a vessel for the mind” (Fleetwood-Smith et al., 2022, p.265). Embodiment helps understand resistance to dementia through leisure (and access to nature), enabling people to exhibit personhood and notions of self through their bodily responses, feelings, and movement (Downs, 2013; Isene et al., 2022; Millett, 2011; Martin et al., 2013). Previous studies of dementia and leisure have adopted an embodiment lens to examine structured and organised experiences of people living with dementia (Kontos et al., 2021; Dowlen et al., 2022; Scott, 2019; Robertson & McCall, 2020; Wright, 2018). These studies evaluated how familiar activities may instigate emotional and bodily responses to facilitate self-expression and enhance social connectivity with others (Russell et al., 2023), typically with an instrumentalist approach of monitoring pre and post health indicators following leisure activity. A few studies have extended this
focus to nature-based experiences. Noone and Jenkins (2018) found nature provided embodied selfhood (see Kontos, 2012), opportunities for self-expression, autonomy, and connecting to others. Smith-Carrier et al. (2021) noted the pleasurable, sensuous experiences of a therapeutic gardening programme, generating feelings of reminiscence, accomplishment, and enhanced social connections. Cook (2020) observed that multi-sensory experiences in nature instigated feelings of autonomy and identity whilst also enhancing social interactions.

Participant recruitment

Participants were recruited through partner organisations, the online portal Join Dementia Research, and the team’s existing contacts. Potential participants were older community-dwelling individuals who identified themselves as living with dementia or cognitive impairment, as well as family carers and supporters of people with dementia (either living or deceased). Participants needed to have the capacity to provide informed consent.

Thirty participants were recruited, equally distributed amongst those with dementia and carers or supporters. The participants included one dyad consisting of a person with dementia and a supporter; the rest were independent of each other. Of the 15 people living with dementia interviewed, the majority were male (10) and White British (12) and all except one was over 55 years old. The mean age was 70 (range 52 – 91; standard deviation 11). Of the 15 family members/supporters interviewed, the majority were female (10) and White British (9) and all except one were over 55 years old. The mean age was 67 (range 55 - 90; standard deviation 10).

Interviews

Interviews took place between November 2021 and March 2022, and were conducted via telephone or video call largely because the study was undertaken during the COVID-19 pandemic. Each interview was conducted after an information sheet had been shared with the participants and
informed consent was given, and interviews lasted up to an hour. To create an interview schedule sensitive to the needs and ability range of people living with dementia, topics were considered by an advisory group consisting of a panel of people with dementia. Most questions were semi-structured to allow for exploration of participants’ views, and the focus was on nature, the outdoors and leisure experiences. Participant’s sensory experiences of nature, their emotions and feelings, and the ways in which they liked to experience nature were explored. Photographs of older people engaging in a range of nature-based pursuits were used to aid discussion of participants’ interests.

Data analysis

To draw out the essence of participants’ embodied experiences, reflexive thematic analysis was used. As Braun and Clarke (2021) advocate, the six phases of reflexive thematic analysis were followed when analysing the data: familiarising with the data; generating codes; constructing themes; reviewing potential themes; defining and naming themes; reporting the findings. Researchers read the transcripts multiple times to familiarise themselves with the data. During this process the importance of the body within the transcripts was identified. Using QSR NVivo1.6.1, the primary author coded the transcripts in relation to the body generating initial themes. A selection of transcripts was also independently coded by two researchers. Through discussion and negotiation, authors refined the themes until they were satisfied that these accurately captured the experiences of participants engagement with nature-based pursuits. These themes are explored in the next section.

FINDINGS

Participant characteristics and preferences in visiting nature sites are shown in Tables 2 and 3; participants’ names have been pseudonymised. Self-reported health issues and physical limitations identified by participants, and how these affect their engagement in nature-based activities, are also
shown in Tables 2 and 3. Table 2 outlines the nature-based interactions occurring in the everyday lives of participants which, in broad terms, do not vary significantly from leisure activities among the wider population (ONS, 2017). ONS identifies that people over age 65 (and the long-term sick) have potentially in excess of 7 hours a day of leisure time available but this latent time must be translated into meaningful leisure time by engaging in activities\(^1\). Such latency is a fluid concept for people living with dementia due to the variability in how cognitive abilities diminish and time-space compression emerges in the lived experience of leisure. For some people, this can create a feeling of disappointment about restrictions felt or experienced in getting out into greenspace. Conversely, others highlight a desire to notice, appreciate, and take pleasure from nature to resist the condition (Genoe, 2010).

*Tables 2 and 3 near here*

Three themes were identified in participants’ accounts: ‘bodily feelings and emotions’, ‘sense of self and identity’ and ‘connectivity to others’. These are discussed in turn below.

**Bodily feelings and emotions**

Participants’ narratives exhibit positive feelings in engaging in nature-based activities, and these feelings emerge through and by the interconnected cognitive, sensate, and corporeal body (i.e., how meaning about the body and identity is mediated by culture and society). Feelings expressed in relation to nature include those of ‘freedom’, ‘calmness’, ‘enjoyment’, ‘excitement’, ‘peacefulness’, and an overall feeling of ‘being recharged’ from an initial analysis of the narratives. These narratives

\(^1\) Unfortunately the ONS (2017) Leisure time in the UK report does not permit a disaggregation of engagement with nature as it is distributed across multiple categories of activity.
ideally need to be read and understood in the context of each individual’s characteristics and the multiple ways in which feelings are invoked through and by the body. A cornucopia of sensuous experiences result, as these quotations illustrate:

“It’s just so relaxing. It’s the open fresh air I love – to me it’s hearing birds and stuff... and then you’ve got the smells, you know if somebody’s got cattle or there’s horses or something, it’s the different smells... when people say to me it’s raining what are you doing going out? I’ve just got to feel the rain on my face and the wind. It makes me feel alive”.

(Peter – living with dementia)

“It can be quite mindful when you go for a walk and enjoy just listening to, or looking at, the leaves on the trees and just sort of take a moment.” (Henry – living with dementia)

For Peter and Henry, tactile, auditory, and visual experience of nature resonate throughout the body, instilling feelings of enjoyment and rejuvenation, and mindfulness expressed as ‘the moment’ (see Djernis et al., 2019). Sensory stimulation through nature was valued by participants, who felt it was good for the body and the mind, with perceptions of individual agency in enhancing one’s own well-being, illustrating many of the arguments from positive psychology:

“You think you’re doing yourself a bit of good by being out and walking and just listening to everything that’s going on” (Sarah – living with dementia)

“When I realised what was happening with my wife, I was very focused on keeping her safe, keeping her stimulated, keeping her fit and all of those things that fit into being outdoors...I think that degree of stimulation and happiness maybe just kept the dementia at bay a little bit longer than would perhaps otherwise have been the case.” (Wyatt - Supporter)

These quotations illustrate a deeper meaning beyond sensory stimulation, also connecting with the happiness-dementia nexus (Person & Hanssen, 2015) through shared experiences. An underlying connection made by several participants was slowing the progression of dementia through nature, with the sensate, corporeal, and cognitive body experiencing and being shaped by nature. Some participants deliberately slowed or stopped their bodily movement to enhance their sensuous experience and connection to nature, again reiterating the mindfulness concept and enjoying the moment in full:
“When I walk, sometimes I will just stop where I am and just drink in, if you like, the atmosphere and the beauty that’s there, and the silence it’s a good feeling. It’s a warm feeling, you know, like a positive feeling.” (Samantha – living with dementia)

Samantha demonstrates the positive emotions experienced through immersing her sensate body in nature and connecting to her surroundings. Similarly some participants appreciate being alone in nature or walking in silence. For example, walking on the beach with his wife Tom describes his bodily movement as one that allows for a deep connection with his surroundings:

“I prefer to walk in silence. I’m a person who just wants to look and take it all in and think and not talk. I want to absorb it. So, when we went to the beach the other day, we didn’t talk most of the time, we just walked and meandered on our own different ways and picked up shells and things. And that’s what I like doing.” (Tom - living with dementia)

Whilst David provided vivid descriptions of how he regularly goes to two benches to sit alone in a natural setting:

“It’s a freedom to explore whatever emotions you have at that time with there being no pressures...It’s almost as if I walk into a fantasy land. Everything else just ceases to exist” (David – living with dementia).

Tom and David outline their appreciation of connecting to nature as a deeply personal experience without the interruption of others. These findings demonstrate a degree of incongruence with Noone & Jenkins (2018) and Smith-Carrier et al. (2021) on social connectedness benefits of being in nature.

Conversely, some participants limited their time in nature due to negative bodily sensations they experienced in adverse conditions, such as the disorientating effect of the wind, as Tom notes: “wind -that is something that has affected me more and more as my brain disease develops”. Participants also noted feeling the effects of being cold and wet more than they used to and thus tried to avoid going outside in inclement weather. This is echoed in other studies (Hendriks et al., 2016), recognising the multi-sensational role of nature and climatic constraints. This tends to reflect comorbidities rather than specifically dementia, as Paul explains in relation to fishing:
“I’ve had a stroke a few years ago and I’m on blood thinners and if it’s cold I feel the cold terribly so I’m a fair-weather fisherman. You know it’s got to be warm for me to go out.”

Sense of self and identity

A central element of embodiment theory, ‘based on the assumption that thoughts, feelings, and behaviours are grounded in bodily interaction’ (Meier et al., 2012, p.1), is phenomenon-based approaches and the concern with the everyday. By embracing key constructs like identity and sense of self in understanding individual differences and responses towards nature, it helps uncover the subjective feelings and sensory experience of being in nature. As Peter states, “it’s either going back to what you like doing or just sitting staring at the walls all day... I’d rather get out”, reflecting the changes to their leisure lives and isolation. Several respondents noted their lifelong associations with leisure pursuits (e.g., sailing, fishing, or walking in nature) while others expressed little interest in pursuits with which they have lifelong negative associations such as riding due to falling off a horse when younger (Henry) or always finding “fishing a bit boring” (Sarah). Although some participants derived enjoyment from learning and experiencing new things, to many, it was lifelong associations that framed their current preferences.

Places could instigate feelings of reminiscence among those living with dementia. For example, Tom states that although his family life growing up was difficult, his favourite place to visit is the beach, as he remembers the sense of enjoyment of family holidays there. Similarly, Lucy mentioned how she was looking forward to going to a park she had not been to for a long time as this would bring back all her childhood memories, making a powerful argument for the use of organised green dementia care models that promote people-centred programmes that are based on nature (Browne, 2021).

There is also a feeling of identity associated with activities and places that goes beyond a purely cognitive process. For example, David explained how even though he is no longer able to go fishing
and camping “there’s still something deep in my inner soul that says you must be by water, you must be away from the crowds” suggesting an instinctive selection of leisure spaces. Similarly, Charlotte, a supporter, describes the difficulty in understanding her father’s feelings, but how in a familiar natural setting, he opened up more - his “bubble extended” illustrating the social connectedness that nature can broker. Amelia also notes how a familiar place from childhood, a local quarry, results in her husband being able to walk longer and how “going back to this place, any time, just completely transforms him” with these deep-seated lay geographies that remain in the consciousness. This indicates how an implicit knowledge residing within the body emerges through a connection to specific places in nature which alters bodily capacity and performance. These responses indicate the complex ways in which identity is situated and emerges through the corporeal, sensate, and cognitive body. This further emerges in how moments in nature invoked corporeal responses when these reactions could not be conveyed verbally. For example, Wyatt, a supporter, describes seeing the smile on his wife’s face and observing the “overall feeling of enjoying herself” when hearing bird song or visiting a bird of prey centre. As Wyatt explained, “eventually you know within a few hours she’d probably forgotten that we were there, but I didn’t really care about that, because for me I learned that everything with dementia is in the moment”. Wyatt then alludes to the value of ephemeral bodily sensations and feelings beyond cognitive memory in natural environments.

Participants also describe how the bodily connection to place emerges in their everyday leisure encounters with nature and the process of undertaking leisure outside the home. Several participants described their enjoyment of going walking and how they have ‘usual routes’ or ‘comfort zones’ if they go out by themselves. As Peter states, “most of the places I go, I could go in my sleep actually, I basically know every bump and lump in the road”. To some, an embodied knowledge of place emerges, which relies on moving the body to access deeper knowledge within
oneself. This can be seen in the quotations below of how participants responded to being temporarily disorientated in accounts of wayfinding:

“I just walked on around the field again and suddenly thought yes I know where I am, so that was alright.” (Mia – living with dementia)

“I suddenly lost the plot and couldn’t recognise how far I had to go to my house, but I tend to live by faith a bit, so I just walk on until things become a bit clearer again.” (Caroline – living with dementia)

For Mia and Caroline, an innate bodily knowledge of place helps to ensure they can reorientate themselves as they move through the environment.

Connectivity to others

Participants’ accounts of how social connections frame their experience of nature-based activities, thereby their enhancing sociability and feelings of belonging, are well documented (e.g. Gatrell, 2013; Wensley & Slade, 2012). The value of sharing a nature-based experience also improved communication:

“I enjoy a walk together [with wife] rather than on my own. It’s nice not only to see nature, it’s good to talk about it as well. I mean we’ll go out and I’ll say that’s a song thrush or blackbird or whatever...it brings it more into my mind, into focus I think” (Paul)

The shared experience of nature was also articulated in the supporter interviews. Nora described how when walking with her mother they would stop and listen to the wind blowing in the trees and her mother would also point out seasonal changes in the vegetation. Similarly, Noah described, even though verbal communication is difficult, how his wife would excitedly point out a heron. Here corporeal movement with others enhances sensory stimuli and allows the person to contribute to the experience of others. Enhanced connection to others was a common theme and expressed poignantly by Scarlett (a supporter) who found being in nature facilitated a deeper connection with her husband as “it gives us time to talk when you’re away from home”. Kelvin appreciated the more casual conservations afforded through his routine walk, stating “I always meet someone who I know
so it’s quite a good walk”. As such, moving through greenspace is an embodied social experience
often appreciated by people living with dementia and the person supporting them.

People living with dementia frequently indicated an aversion to going somewhere new unless
accompanied to provide reassurance, reducing their fear of getting confused or lost, and for physical
support. Some participants relied on holding on to someone when walking on uneven terrain,
creating a physical and emotional connection to the person supporting them. Conversely, this
reliance on others prevents some people from engaging fully in their favourite activities. Paul
explained he could not go fishing and metal detecting frequently, as “to expect all the time the
family to do things is unfair. I suppose it’s not always a conscious decision. It’s almost unconscious. I
don’t want to burden them”.

Other participants note how they refrain from activities over concern about how their bodily
performance may be perceived. James notes how he only plays golf with people he knows, and he
would feel uncomfortable playing with strangers, being unsure of their reactions. Similarly, Lucy
avoids walking groups because she feels she walks too slowly. This self-awareness also reflects the
stigma still attached to dementia (Herrmann et al., 2018).

Supporters commented that watching the person living with dementia closely was essential to
ensuring a safe and enjoyable experience:

“I mean there’s lots of parts of the coast path that we couldn’t walk her on because her
eyesight isn’t good enough and it would stress her because she’d be looking so hard at
uneven surfaces that she wouldn’t enjoy the experience” (Emma)

Amelia described how she often avoids walking groups:

“A few years ago, we tried to do the Alzheimer’s Charity Walk; basically, he wasn’t able to
sustain the distance, so we had to be very, very careful. I can tell when he’s getting tired or
he really is struggling, so I don’t want to put him in a situation like that. I know his limitations
so I would know how far to take him and where to take him for how long” (Amelia –
supporter)
Supporters relied on a detailed knowledge of the bodily performance of people with dementia when undertaking leisure activities independently. Samantha described how she is comfortable running by herself, as her partner knows how fast she runs different routes, so will know where she is at any given time. Interestingly, issues of agency arise among some people living with dementia, with their ability to take risks compromised, and demonstrated by Peter and Kelvin:

“Because of my balance and my vision, people have told me look don’t be going to the towpaths. What if your balance goes and you fall in And I keep saying but what if I was crossing the road and get knocked down by a car. We’ve all gotta have risks” (Peter – living with dementia)

“They were all very protective you know like they were following me round the campsite! You know, stuff like that and I’m like, ‘what you doing?’, but then they got the message and just left me to it”. (Kelvin – living with dementia)

The accounts of Peter and Kelvin demonstrate the notion of leisure resistance and restrictions of others and the controversy associated with surveillance (Kontos & Martin, 2013).

DISCUSSION

This paper is among the first to explore embodied experience of nature-based and outdoor activities among people with dementia living in the community and informal carers of people with dementia. This addresses a research gap, as most previous studies in this area have focused on people with dementia in residential or day care settings and the leisure lives of people living at home with dementia are still little explored by researchers, although there is an emergent interest in this focus as Gray et al. (2024) indicate. Our study develops several distinct contributions to knowledge. First, it confirms some of the findings from the grey literature (e.g. Mapes et al. 2016) that people living with dementia can have rewarding, pleasurable and stimulating leisure lives, enhanced by engagement with nature. Second, we demonstrate that leisure lives do adapt, as they do in the general population throughout the life course, where challenging activities and pursuits may be replaced with more achievable outcomes. This is testimony to a positive, enabling approach where
barriers and constraints to leisure are negotiated and overcome to achieve continuity in the leisure experiences of people with dementia post-diagnosis. Third, the study shows that a dementia diagnosis does not render leisure lives redundant: to the contrary, it may deepen people’s experience as they savour ‘moments’ (see Keady et al., 2020) in nature, finding greater meaning and comfort from sharing nature experiences to create social connectedness and family bonding. Fourth, the findings show that this approach to thinking may help challenge the inevitability of time-space compression, allowing outdoor experiences to be substituted nearer to home to retain familiarity and resonance with lived experiences of nature that can continue throughout a person’s life. Fifth, this study builds on the extant literature, arguing that organised programmes for people affected by dementia are the tip of the iceberg, as leisure spans their home and outdoor environments. Applying a therapeutic landscape lens illustrates the value of nature to QoL (Doughty, 2018). Bell et al. (2018) argued that such landscapes may have a healing value as well as a social, spiritual and symbolic meaning to people, and the relevance of this for people with dementia emerges from the embodied research on nature reported in this paper.

This study suggests the importance of a more holistic understanding of how people living with dementia construct leisure spaces in everyday encounters with nature. Engagement with nature can be a liberating experience, has great potential for inclusivity if accessible (type and extent of experience permitting), to most abilities. Our findings reaffirm Richardson et al. (2021, p23), that engaging with nature through simple activities often provides the greatest pleasure and happiness where “nature connectedness itself—tuning into nature—is a core psychological need and basic component of a good life”. Embodiment moves beyond simplistic associations between access to nature and QoL to consider how nature encounters unfold as personalised experiences that are negotiated through a process of doing, a common metaphor used in the interviews. This is important as dementia research tends to provide overly positive accounts suggesting access to nature is naturally conducive to QoL. However, as Bell et al. (2014) note, people access or derive feelings of
well-being from nature in different ways, and this fluctuates in relation to shifting life circumstances and personal identities (and climatic constraints). The argument of Mapes et al. (2016, p. 10) that people living with dementia are only interested in the present and future rather than what they did in the past is challenged by this paper, as past experiences, social relations, and bodily capacities uniquely frame how people living with dementia experience nature-based pursuits. These experiences span a continuum ranging from little interest in nature to finding nature crucial for living well with dementia. Evidence exists of people withdrawing from groups and pursuits, not because of their capacities, but because of how their capacity is assessed by others, reflecting a ‘darker side’ to therapeutic spaces (Bell et al., 2018) which can exclude certain bodies or deem others out of place. This resonates with the leisure literature on how multicultural and disabled groups are under-represented more generally in outdoor leisure (see Burgess, 1996; Burns et al., 2009; Comber et al., 2008; Neal, 2002; Rishbeth, 2001; Tregaskis, 2004). Dementia may be added to this list in settings where people feel the need to withdraw from participating due to the effects of dementia or the attitudes of others.

Implications

Embodiment, as Downs (2013) indicated, opens new avenues for research. It helps us deconstruct how people living with dementia frame their experience of nature-based activities. This knowledge can contribute to the design of dementia-inclusive experiences targeting diverse needs. For visitor economy businesses and organisations, the diversity in personal characteristics and lived experience of dementia means one size does not fit all. As Richardson et al. (2019) illustrate, it may be the simplest of innovations or adaptations that makes a major difference, such as providing a bench to sit on and mindfully absorb the moment. Recognising the value of facilitating immersive experiences entails recognising the deeply personal ways in which people living with dementia connect, construct meaning, and derive value from nature through their bodies. It provides new opportunities for dementia practitioners and businesses to think creatively about the types of nature-based
pursuits people may be interested in, why and what they want from them. To practitioners this may appear as passive and low key but that may mean a great deal to the participant. We need to reframe leisure through the eyes of the participants and not presuppose what people want and need, just as highly successful customer-centric organisations do. People living with dementia have leisure lives and nature helps them to seek meaning and well-being benefits when they choose to engage with it in the way they want to. That engagement recognises an increased awareness and understanding of individuals continued capabilities and capacities that can facilitate participation.

Limitations
Our study relied on the reflections of people living with dementia and their supporters about their pursuits in nature. To ensure we provided a faithful account of this aspect of living with dementia, and to triangulate our data with a reference group, we presented an overview of our findings to our project advisory group consisting of people living with dementia and carers, who supported the conclusions drawn. We did not set out to encompass the heterogeneity of those living with dementia in the community and we did not restrict participation to any specific stage of cognitive impairment. During the recruitment of participants, we were mindful of diversity but, despite our best efforts, our sample consists of people who mostly identify as White British. While Low et al. (2019) and Brijnath et al. (2022) pointed to the need to expand the diversity of participants in dementia research, we recognise our findings may not apply to individuals who identify as belonging to a different social or ethnic group. Yet our research does begin to illustrate how the findings on organised programmes and interventions using nature are not applicable to the diversity of leisure lives which people living with dementia have. Our data has allowed for exploration of key themes across age groups, geographic locations, and types of participants. We recognise there may be significant variation in experiences of nature-based pursuits depending on one’s degree of cognitive impairment which we did not seek to measure or control for.
Conclusions

This study provides an in-depth account of the experiences of people living with dementia participating in everyday nature-based pursuits in their leisure time. The contribution to knowledge emerges in the intersection between nature, people living with dementia, their carers and supporters and the providers or gatekeepers controlling access to nature (where applicable). It is evident from the findings that people living with dementia have differing levels of agency that they express in their leisure lives, a feature often overlooked. Organised events may only fill a fraction of the weekly time budgets people with dementia have for leisure and so more regular engagement with the outdoors needs to be fostered. Nature, and the connections it offers, are very wide ranging: from reminiscing and recollecting past experiences of the place, through to living well in the present, and hope for a fulfilling future. As cognitive challenges are frequently experienced alongside other comorbidities affecting physical health, it is important to understand how people living with dementia experience nature-based pursuits. Nature-based pursuits can instigate bodily sensations and feelings which are valued beyond a purely cognitive process and may have deep-seated emotional meaning irrespective of any cognitive or communicative difficulties a person may be experiencing. Nature is experienced and valued in deeply personal ways, and this research indicates that expressing individual preference and exploring capabilities through nature-based experiences and activities promotes agency. For practice, this requires a reframing of nature-based activity for people living with dementia away from an association with care or therapy to one of individual autonomy. Recognising, supporting, and facilitating people living with dementia to lead fulfilling leisure lives is likely to become a growing focus for researchers, thus emphasising the importance of understanding the leisure domain in a more holistic, interconnected, and seamless way. Addressing this invisibility of the leisure lives of people with dementia through more sustained programmes of research will enable us to promote the well-being benefits of out-of-home leisure and help people to live well with dementia.
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Table 1. Summary of findings from Mapes et al. (2016)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Where like to go in nature</th>
<th>What like to do in nature</th>
<th>Influencing preferences</th>
<th>Influencing engagement with nature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Places near water</td>
<td>Walking informal</td>
<td>Where live (urban/rural)</td>
<td>Personal attributes/feelings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City parks</td>
<td>Wildlife watching/Interacting with nature</td>
<td>Concept of nature (present day experience, memory, emotional and idealised view of nature)</td>
<td>Facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beach/Coast</td>
<td>Dog walking</td>
<td></td>
<td>The support of others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country parks</td>
<td>Organised walking group</td>
<td></td>
<td>Activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allotments</td>
<td>Cycling</td>
<td></td>
<td>Individuals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inland waterways/Lakes</td>
<td>Gardening</td>
<td></td>
<td>Organisations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woods</td>
<td>Boating</td>
<td></td>
<td>Availability of activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The wilds</td>
<td>Swimming</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bushcraft</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Running</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The report by Mapes et al. (2016), commissioned by Natural England, presents evidence about engagement with the outdoors and with nature in the UK gathered from 54 people living with dementia via focus groups and 182 informal carers via online survey or telephone interviews.
Table 2. Profile of interview participants - People living with dementia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Ethnicity/Nationality</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Supported by</th>
<th>Self-identified difficulties</th>
<th>How affected</th>
<th>Preferences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sarah (Female 70)</td>
<td>White/British</td>
<td>Midlands</td>
<td>Husband/walks with daughter</td>
<td>Recent memory. Mobility issues (a condition that is like MS)</td>
<td>Less confident prefers to walk with someone else.</td>
<td>Walking around lanes with daughter. Travelling UK/abroad.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mathew (Male 90)</td>
<td>White/British</td>
<td>Northern England</td>
<td>None (son lives locally)</td>
<td>Recent memory</td>
<td>Does not go out in rain or wind. Cannot do major digging in garden (has a gardener to help). No longer drives so cannot get to some walks used to do.</td>
<td>Walking dog. Walking group. Gardening with men's group.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Nationality</td>
<td>Region of England</td>
<td>Living Arrangement</td>
<td>Memory Difficulties</td>
<td>Mobility Difficulties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lucy</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>British</td>
<td>South-East of England</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Memory difficulties</td>
<td>Mobility issues – walks with a stick. Injured knees. Injured neck. Lack of movement in arms. Hearing impaired</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Profile of interview participants – Supporters of people living with dementia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Nationality/Ethnicity</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Relation to person living with dementia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Olivia (Female 75) | British               | South-West of England | Spouse (husband living with dementia)  
Husband – 90  
Live together |
| Noah (Male 72)   | British               | South-West of England | Spouse (wife living with dementia.)  
Wife – 72  
Live together |
| Emma (Female 64) | British               | South-West of England | Daughter (mother living with dementia)  
Mother – 87  
Lives in privately owned sheltered accommodation (carer comes morning and evening)  
Daughter lives 20 minutes away. |
| Charlotte (Female 57) | White/British     | South-West of England | Daughter (father living with dementia)  
Father – 86  
Father lives by self (carers morning and evening) |
| William (Male 76) | South-West of England | Spouse (wife – deceased was living with dementia)  
In a care home 3 years before deceased |
| Amelia (Female 70) | White/British         | Midlands          | Spouse (husband living with dementia) |
| Lucas (Male 58)  | White/British         | Northern England  | Son (mother – deceased was living with dementia) |
| Ava Female       | East of England       |                  | Daughter (father – deceased was living with dementia)  
Lived alone/then care home |
| Wyatt (Male 69)  | White/British         | London            | Spouse (Wife living with dementia)  
Lived with wife. She is now in a care home. |
| Sophia (Female 60) | White/British        | Midlands          | Daughter (both parents – deceased were living with dementia) |
| Luke (Male 90)   | Northern England      |                  | Spouse (wife – deceased was living with dementia) |
| Isabella (Female 55) | Black/African      | London            | Daughter (father – deceased was living with dementia)  
Lived 5 minutes away from father |
| Scarlett (Female 70) | White/British       | Northern England  | Wife (husband living with dementia) |
| Nora (Female 56) | White/British         | South-West of England | Daughter (mother living with dementia)  
Mother 82  
Lives 15 minutes away from mother |
| Hazel (Female 62) | White/South American  | London            | Partner (partner living with dementia)  
Live together  
Partner 78 |